

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—To my question (d), the reason mentioned is “the cause of death was cerebral venous thrombosis which is one of the known complication after delivery” May I know Sir, when that lady delivered and where ?

† SRI H.M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The information is not before me. I want notice for this.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—The question is where that lady delivered and when and after how many days this tubectomy operation was performed.

SRI H.M. CHANNABASAPPA —She might have delivered few days back. When exactly she delivered and where, that is not important.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—That is most important in tubectomy cases. May I know after the delivery within how many days the operation is performed ?

SRI H.M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The tubectomy operation is of two types. One is in the normal course and the another is after the delivery.

SRI B.B. SAYANAK.—You have stated that the cause of death was due to cerebral venous thrombosis.

SRI H.M. CHANNABASAPPA.—That is what is reported.

SRI B.B. SAYANAK.—I am asking when that lady delivered and where and after how many days tubectomy operation took place,

SRI H.M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I will ascertain and let you know.

SRI B.B. SAYANAK —Madam Speaker, then, this question may be held over.

SRI H.M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I have no objection.

MADAM SPEAKER.—The question is held over.

#### Formula for Payment of Bonus, Wages, etc., of Industrial Workers.

216. SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR. (Bhadravathi).—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state.—

(a) whether any formula regarding payment of bonus, wages and salaries to the Industrial Workers in the State has been evolved;

---

† indicates that remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned.

(b) if so, why salaries of the industrial officers and workers are not kept on par with other staff of Central Industrial Undertakings ;

(c) when the pay scales of officials and workers of Visvesvaraya Iron and Steel Ltd., were revised (comparative statement with that of other steel plants in the country be furnished in respect of the following categories):

1. Div. Managers,
2. Superintendents,  
Shift Managers,  
Foreman,

Asst. Foreman and the other categories of workers that are existing in Visvesvaraya Iron and Steel Limited ;

(d) whether the present bonus formula is applicable to the small units like Visvesvaraya Iron and Steel Ltd; where production do not reach the level of other plants like HSL and TISCO ?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.(Chief Minister).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Pay scales of officers were revised with effect from 1st April 1975.

Pay scales of workers were revised with effect from 1st September 1974.

Comparative statement is placed on the Table of the House \*\*

(a) The formula that is being finalised, envisages separate norms for:

(i) Tonnage Steel Plants like Hindustan Steel Ltd., and Tata Iron and Steel Ltd., and

(ii), Alloy Steel Plant, Durgapur and Visvesvaraya Iron and Steel Ltd., Bhadravathi.

SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.—Sir, answering to question (a) & (b) is there any formula regarding payment of bonus, wages and salaries to the industries? While supplying the statement to me on the Floor of this House, you yourself admitted in (c) that the pay scales of the officers were revised with effect from April 1975 and the pay scales of the workers revised with effect from 1974 have been finalised. The

\*\* Copy placed in the Karnataka Legislature Library vide No. 35, dated 1st July 1977.

categories are I, II, III, IV, V and VI, whereas in your statement supplied to me it is mentioned as IV instead of VI. I want to know whether it is IV grade or VI grade?

† SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—I am sorry, it is not IV Grade, it is VI grade.

SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.—In that case more or less all the employees of the VI grade are kept on par with the Hindustan Steel and other steel plants according to your own statement furnished here. May I request you to see as promised by you that the officers grades also are kept on par with the other Hindustan Steel and TISCO, because our production is one and the same.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೋಣದೂರು ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಬಿಲ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದುವರೆದ ಬಿಲ್ಡಿಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿ, ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳು ಆಗದ ಹಾಗೆ ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದಿರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ನಿಮಗೆ ಕಾದು ಬೇಕು; ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳೇತಕ್ಕೆ?

MADAM SPEAKER.—The point is this. This is a small plant when compared to the other plants. Now to say, that we have to apply the same pay scale or wage scale which are obtainable in other big plants like Bhilai is not possible. Out of 11,000 and odd workers nearly 3,000 odd workers are given that grade because they all belong to lower grades. These lower category people are not white-collared labourers. So, they were given wages on par with those in the other steel plants. The rest of them are really not comparable to the grades in the other steel plants, much less the officers. Now, it is not as if the grades of officers have not been increased at all. Revision has taken place in the year 1975 or so. Further there is a demand that they should be put on par with similar grade officers elsewhere.

SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.—Ours is an alloy steel plant which has done much better than what the Durgapur steel plant has done and our officers are in no way inferior to those officers. In view of this why these grades are not given to our officers? After all this anomaly in pay scale can be removed and the Hon'ble Chief Minister was kind enough to assure these officers that this anomaly will be removed. My comparison is with Durgapur Steel Plant. We are producing better alloy steel than what the Durgapur Plant is producing.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—The grades which the Hon'ble Member is reading apply to Bhilai and Rourkela and not to Durgapur.

SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.—I ask for Durgapur grades.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—I would like to know whether the Hon'ble Member has got information regarding pay scales of officers of Durgapur Steel Plant?

SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.—Yes.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—If he has got it let him pass it on to me. We will see whether our grades are comparable to those of the officers of Durgapur Plant and if they are comparable, the question will be considered.

SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.—Those officers got an interview with the Hon'ble Chief Minister and have furnished all these details and he was kind enough to tell them their case will be considered sympathetically.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—I will get it examined.

### Recruitment of Diploma Holders of Agricultural Engineering Institute, Raichur

256. SRI B. M. HORAKERI (Guledgud) —Will the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the Government intend to upgrade the Agricultural Engineering Institute, Raichur into a Degree College, if so, when it will be upgraded;

(b) the steps taken to recruit the diploma holders of agricultural Engineering Institute, Raichur in the Offices of Agro-Industries Corporation, Irrigation and Soil Conservation and Government Implements Factory, Hassan;

(c) the reasons for recruiting diploma and degree holders in Mechanical Engineering in Agro-Industries Corporation when diploma holders in Agricultural Engineering are available;

(d) whether the Diploma in Agricultural Engineering are considered as preferential qualification for recruitment to the posts in the offices of (1) Soil Conservation and Irrigation; (2) Karnataka Agro-Industries Corporation;

(e) whether these diploma or degree holders are given preference for allotment of Agr.-Service Centres; if not why?

SRI N. CHIKKE GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—

(a) The Agricultural Engineering Institute, Raichur, was started during 1969 and it is under the administrative control of the University of Agricultural Sciences. The University is keen on upgrading the Institute into a Degree College and the matter is under consideration of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research.